

Thus, catholics have a problem. Not only do they oppose the view that we should call no man our father, but they reject the idea that we are all, potentially, the sons of God. They claim that the pope and his priesthood are the fathers of the true faith of Jesus Christ by alienating this clear teaching and refusing to encourage catholics to leave the fathers of the church for The Father. How can this be?

The truth is in the populace approach to Christianity. The Bible makes this much clear:

[Mat 7:14] Because strait [is] the gate, and narrow [is] the way, which leadeth unto life, and few there be that find it.

Few people ever grasp the idea that they have only one Father. All of those who reject, refuse and deject this teaching of Jesus Christ are easy pickings for the catholic church, because those people are willing to adopt men as their Fathers. And I mean Fathers, since priests act as vicars for the head vicar, the pope, who is himself a vicar of Christ. . . or so they claim.

Upon further research of these words, which do not appear in the Bible, we find that vicar isn't even a real word! It was usurped in the 1300's by the catholic church!:

vicar

c.1300, from O.Fr. vicaire, from L. vicarius "**substitute**, deputy," noun use of adj. vicarius "**substituting**," from vicis "change, turn, office" (see vicarious).³

And 'pope' clearly means **father** in the original greek and adopted by the catholic church:

pope

O.E. papa, from M.L. papa "bishop, pope" (in classical L., "tutor"), from Gk. papas "patriarch, bishop," **originally "father."**⁴

And the most amazing part about this is the original meaning of the word vicar is substitute. The pope is the substitute for Christ, instead of, rather than. Literally, the pope is not just the substitute for Christ, his title actually includes usurping the role of the Father:

pope and vicar for Christ = Father and substitute for Christ.

Riddle me this catholic church: how can one reject the teachings of Christ, both by refusing them and by not teaching them, and then claim to be the true church of Jesus Christ?

In the name of our Father in heaven.

John

Sources:

¹ <http://www.blueletterbible.org/lang/lexicon/lexicon.cfm?Strongsg=G3962&t=KJV>

² <http://www.catholic.com/tracts/call-no-man-father>

³ <http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?search=vicar>

⁴ http://www.etymonline.com/index.php?term=Pope&allowed_in_frame=0

Call No Man Your Father

Father priests and father popes are complete blasphemies



The catholic church avidly corrupts and twists scriptures in order to justify their existence and dealings as the worlds leading and most populated satanic cult. One of the clearest and most fundamental corruptions of scripture is the idea of the 'father priest.'

Lets look at the passage where Jesus tells us to call no man on earth our father:

[Mat 23:9] And call no [man] your father upon the earth: for one is your Father, which is in heaven.

Its written the first time without a capital, father, and the second time, Father indicating he is literally saying call no man, not even the man of your birth, 'father.'

Father: 1) generator or male ancestor¹

Yet, there are many uses of father in the Bible that are in reference to men and not to The Father. Jesus Himself said, in:

[Mat 23:32] Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers.

[Mat 19:29] And every one that hath forsaken houses, or brethren, or sisters, or father, or mother, or wife, or children, or lands, for my name's sake, shall receive an hundredfold, and shall inherit everlasting life.

And John:

[1 John 2:13] I write unto you, fathers, because ye have known him [that is] from the beginning. I write unto you, young men, because ye have overcome the wicked one. I write unto you, little children, because ye have known the Father.

And a few corrupted catholic verses:

[1 Cor 4:14-15] I do not write this to make you ashamed, but to admonish you as my beloved children. For though you have countless guides in Christ, you do not have many fathers. For I became your father in Christ Jesus through the gospel.

[1 Pet 5:13] She who is at Babylon, who is likewise chosen, sends you greetings; and so does my son Mark.

Lets go through these one by one.

[1 Jo 2:13] is simple, look at Matthew again:

[Mat 23:9] And call no [man] your father upon the earth: for one is your Father, which is in heaven.

Call no man on earth **YOUR** father. John didn't say, "I write unto you, **MY** fathers." The reason John uses the word 'fathers' here because in the eyes of others they are indeed fathers. Children grow up knowing their

fathers, but not knowing the Father.

[Mat 23:32] is another easy explanation. Jesus is speaking to the pharisees in this passage. In fact, in just the next verse he accuses them:

[Mat 23:33] [Ye] serpents, [ye] generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?

Clearly, the reference to 'father' in [Mat 23:32] is **their father the devil** [John 8:44].

In [Mat 19:29], he is speaking of people who have not yet accepted the true Father, and only in leaving the father, either father the man or father the devil, will they come to know the 'Father.'

[1 Cor 4:14-15] is not as it appears in the RSV, or the abomination catholic version which I dare not name. In the true english Bible, the 1611 KJV, it reads:

[1Cor 4:14-15] I write not these things to shame you, but as my beloved sons I warn [you]. For though ye have ten thousand instructors in Christ, yet [have ye] not many fathers: for in Christ Jesus I have begotten you through the gospel.

And Peter:

[1Pe 5:13] The [church that is] at Babylon, elected together with [you], saluteth you; and [so doth] Marcus my son.

But what do catholics argue?

"In fact, to forbid it would rob the address 'Father' of its meaning when applied to God, for there would no longer be any earthly counterpart for the analogy of divine Fatherhood. The concept of God's role as Father would be meaningless if we obliterated the concept of earthly fatherhood."²

In consideration of context the Bible explains any and all misconceptions one might have. When Jesus is speaking to a crowd of Jews or disbelievers, he is talking to a crowd of people who do not know the Father. Thus, in order for them to understand, he refers to father which in their case, is still a true expression.

The reason is simple, for Israel, up until that point, the idea of Father was incomplete (mentioned in [Isa 9:6]) because they did not know the Son, Jesus Christ. And that is it, Jesus fulfilled the law [Mat 5:17] by introducing the Jews, and the gentiles, to their role as sons to the Father, just as he is the Son to the Father:

[1Jo 3:1] Behold, what manner of love the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called the sons of God: therefore the world knoweth us not, because it knew him not. Beloved, now are we the sons of God, and it doth not yet appear what we shall be : but we know that, when he shall appear, we shall be like him; for we shall see him as he is.

Up until Christ, no man would dare call themselves a son of God because it would be taken literally. But after baptism became the standard, and the washing in the blood of Christ for the remission of sins, **man was allowed to become a son of God through Jesus Christ, which before was not possible.**

